UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America)
V.) Case No: DNCW393CR000028-006
REAON O'NEIL MOULTRY	USM No: 10439-058
Date of Original Judgment: June 26, 1995)
Date of Last Amended Judgment: May 12, 2009	Ross Richardson
	Defendant's Attorney
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Re	eduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Director § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment impusubsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the Unit § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to	ted States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. to account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: ☐ DENIED. ■ GRANTED and the defendant's	previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in
	hs is reduced to Time served, plus 10 days
Criginal Offense Level: 40 Criginal History Category: II Criginal Guideline Range: 324-405 months	Amended Offense Level: 36 Criminal History Category: II 210-262 months
 ■ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline rar □ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less that of sentencing and the reduced sentence is comparably less □ The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline ran □ Other (explain): 	n the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time s than the amended guideline range.
	tial plan accepted by the U.S. Probation Officer prior to tion of supervised release the defendant shall submit to exceed 90 days, with work release, at the direction of
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dat	ted June 26, 1995 shall remain in effect.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Order Date: <u>December 27, 2011</u>	Graham C. Mullen
Effective Date: (if different from order date)	Graham C. Mullen United States District Judge